Case 1:08-cv-06568 GEV Document 4 Filed U//

399-08/WLJ FREEHILL HOGAN & MAI Attorneys for Plaintiff MOCOH S.A. 80 Pine Street New York, NY 10005 (212) 425-1900 (212) 425-1901 fax William L. Juska (WJ 0772) UNITED STATES DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	T COURT NEW YORK	Y
MOCOH S.A.,		x 08-Civ-
- against – FAL SHIPPING CO. LTD.,	Plaintiff,	JUSKA AFFIDAVIT PURSUANT TO RULE 4(c) ANI CONCERNING THE SCOPE OI <u>SERVICE</u>
	Defendant.	
STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK)) ss:	x

WILLIAM L. JUSKA, being duly sworn, deposes and says as follows:

- I am a member of the Bar of the United States District Court, Southern District of 1. New York, admitted to practice before this Court and I am a partner with the law firm of Freehill Hogan & Mahar, LLP, attorneys for Plaintiff herein.
- This Affidavit is submitted in support of Plaintiff's application pursuant to Rule 2. 4(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

REQUEST FOR ORDER APPOINTING SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

- 3. Plaintiff apply, pursuant to Rule 4(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for an Order appointing Barbara Carnevale, Manuel Molina, Pamela Schultz, Justin Nastro, Daniel Fitzgerald, Susan Lee, Jan Gisholt, Robert Ridenour, Joan Sorrentino, Christina Gargano, or any other partner, associate, paralegal or other agent of Freehill Hogan & Mahar LLP, in addition to the United States Marshal, to serve the Process of Attachment and Garnishment issued pursuant to FRCP Supplemental Rule B upon the named garnishee(s) as well as any other garnishee(s) who (based upon information developed subsequent hereto) may hold assets of, for, or on behalf of the Defendant.
- 4. Plaintiff is desirous of serving the Process of Attachment and Garnishment on the garnishee(s) with all deliberate speed so that it will be fully protected against the possibility of not being able to satisfy a judgment that may ultimately be entered by Plaintiff against the Defendant.
- 5. To the extent this application for an Order appointing a special process server with respect to this attachment and garnishment does not involve a restraint of physical property, there is no need to require that the service be effected by the Marshal as it simply involves delivery of the process to the respect of the garnishee(s).
- 6. Plaintiff also requests that the Court grant them leave to serve any additional garnishee(s) who may be discovered in the course of this litigation to be holding property of the Defendant within this District. By obtaining leave at this time to serve these other possible garnishees, it will facilitate prompt service of the Process without the need to return to the Court for permission to amend the process simply to name other garnishees.

NYDOCS1/309067.1 2 7. In addition, and to avoid the need to repetitively serve the garnishees/banking institutions, Plaintiff respectfully seeks leave, as embodied in the accompanying order, for any process served on a garnishee to be deemed effective and continuous throughout any given day on which process is served up through the end of the next business day, to authorize service by facsimile or email following an initial service of the process by hand, and to deem such facsimile or email service as being accomplished within this District if such service is transmitted or initiated from within the District.

William L.Juska

Sworn to before me this 24th day of July, 2008

Notary Public

CLARE HENRY
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01HE4831498
Qualified in Kings County
Certificate in New York County
Commission Expires October 31, 2009

NYDOCS1/309067.1 3